

Common Fixed Point Results for Family of Generalized Multivalued F -contraction Mappings in Ordered Metric Spaces

Talat Nazir^{a,b} and Sergei Silvestrov^b

^(a)*Division of Applied Mathematics, School of Education, Culture and Communication,*

Mälardalen University, 72123 Västerås, Sweden.

^(b)*Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, 22060 Abbottabad, Pakistan.*

E-mail: talat@ciit.net.pk, sergei.silvestrov@mdh.se

Abstract — In this paper, we study the existence of common fixed points of family of multivalued mappings satisfying generalized F -contractive conditions in ordered metric spaces. These results establish some of the general common fixed point theorems for family of multivalued maps.

Keywords and Phrases: Multivalued mapping, generalized F -contraction, common fixed point, ordered metric space.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25, 54C60, 46B40.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Markin [16] initiated the study of fixed points for multivalued nonexpansive and contractive maps. Later, a useful and interesting fixed point theory for such maps was developed. Later, a rich and interesting fixed point theory for such multivalued maps was developed; see, for instance [6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 18, 19, 20, 23]. The theory of multivalued maps has various applications in convex optimization, dynamical systems, commutative algebra, differential equations and economics. Recently, Wardowski [24] introduced a new contraction called F -contraction and proved a fixed point result as a generalization of the Banach contraction principle. Abbas et al. [1] obtained common fixed point results by employed the F -contraction condition. Further in this direction, Abbas et al. [2] introduced a notion of generalized F -contraction mapping and employed there results to obtain a fixed point of a generalized nonexpansive mappings on star shaped subsets of normed linear spaces. Minak et al. [17] proved some fixed point results for

Ciric type generalized F –contractions on complete metric spaces. Recently, [5] established some fixed point results for multivalued F –contraction maps on complete metrics spaces.

The aim of this paper is to prove common fixed points theorems for family of multivalued generalized F –contraction mappings without using any commutativity condition in partially ordered metric space. These results extend and unify various comparable results in the literature ([12], [14], [21] and [22]).

We begin with some basic known definitions and results which will be used in the sequel. Throughout this article, \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R}^+ , \mathbb{R} denote the set of natural numbers, the set of positive real numbers and the set of real numbers, respectively.

Let F be the collection of all mappings $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy the following conditions:

(F_1) F is strictly increasing, that is, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $a < b$ implies that $F(a) < F(b)$.

(F_2) For every sequence $\{a_n\}$ of positive real numbers, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n) = -\infty$ are equivalent.

(F_3) There exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} a^\lambda F(\lambda) = 0$.

Definition 1.1. [24] Let (X, d) be a metric space and $F \in F$. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be an F –contraction on X if there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$d(fx, fy) > 0 \text{ implies that } \tau + F(d(fx, fy)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Wardowski [24] gave the following result.

Theorem 1.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an F –contraction. Then there exists a unique x in X such that $x = fx$. Moreover, for any $x_0 \in X$, the iterative sequence $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ converges to x .

Kannan [12] has proved a fixed point theorem for a single valued self mapping T of a metric space X satisfying the property

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq h\{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)\}$$

for all x, y in X and for a fixed $h \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Ciric [8] considered a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the following contractive condition:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq q \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$$

where $q \in [0, 1)$. He proved the existence of a fixed point when X is a T -orbitally complete metric space.

Latif and Beg [14] extended Kannan mapping for multivalued mapping and introduced the notion of a K -multivalued mapping. Rus [21] coined the term R -multivalued mapping, which is a generalization of a K -multivalued mapping (see also, [3]). Abbas and Rhoades [4] studied common fixed point problems for multivalued mappings and introduced the notion of generalized R -multivalued mappings which in turn generalizes R -multivalued mappings.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Denote by $P(X)$ be the family of all nonempty subsets of X , and by $P_{cl}(X)$ the family of all nonempty closed subsets of X . A point x in X is called fixed point of a multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ provided $x \in Tx$. The collection of all fixed point of T is denoted by $Fix(T)$.

Recall that, a map $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ is said to be upper semicontinuous, if for $x_n \in X$ and $y_n \in Tx_n$ with $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and $y_n \rightarrow y_0$, implies $y_0 \in Tx_0$.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a nonempty set. Then (X, d, \preceq) is called partially ordered metric space if and only if d is a metric on a partially ordered set (X, \preceq) .

We define $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \subseteq X \times X$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \preceq y\} \text{ and} \\ \Delta_2 &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \prec y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.4. A subset Γ of a partially ordered set X is said to be well-ordered if every two elements of Γ are comparable.

1 COMMON FIXED POINT RESULTS

In this section, we obtain common fixed point theorems for family of multivalued mappings. We begin with the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d, \preceq) be an ordered complete metric space and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^m : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be family of multivalued mappings. Suppose that for

every $(x, y) \in \Delta_1$ and $u_x \in T_i(x)$, there exists $u_y \in T_{i+1}(y)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ (with $T_{m+1} = T_1$ by convention) such that, $(u_x, u_y) \in \Delta_2$ implies

$$\tau + F(d(u_x, u_y)) \leq F(M(x, y; u_x, u_y)), \quad (2.1)$$

where τ is a positive real number and

$$M(x, y; u_x, u_y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, u_x), d(y, u_y), \frac{d(x, u_y) + d(y, u_x)}{2}\}.$$

Then the following statements hold:

- (1) $Fix(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ if and only if $Fix(T_1) = Fix(T_2) = \dots = Fix(T_m) \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) $Fix(T_1) = Fix(T_2) = \dots = Fix(T_m) \neq \emptyset$ provided that any one T_i for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is upper semicontinuous.
- (3) $\cap_{i=1}^m Fix(T_i)$ is well-ordered if and only if $\cap_{i=1}^m Fix(T_i)$ is singleton set.

Proof. To prove (1), let $x^* \in T_k(x^*)$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Assume that $x^* \notin T_{k+1}(x^*)$, then there exists an $x \in T_{k+1}(x^*)$ with $(x^*, x) \in \Delta_2$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(x^*, x)) \leq F(M(x^*, x^*; x^*, x)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x^*, x^*; x^*, x) &= \max\{d(x^*, x^*), d(x^*, x^*), d(x, x^*), \frac{d(x^*, x) + d(x^*, x^*)}{2}\} \\ &= d(x, x^*), \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\tau + F(d(x^*, x)) \leq F(d(x^*, x)),$$

a contradiction as $\tau > 0$. Thus $x^* = x$. Thus $x^* \in T_{k+1}(x^*)$ and so $Fix(T_k) \subseteq Fix(T_{k+1})$. Similarly, we obtain that $Fix(T_{k+1}) \subseteq Fix(T_{k+2})$ and continuing this way, we get $Fix(T_1) = Fix(T_2) = \dots = Fix(T_k)$. The converse is straightforward.

To prove (2), suppose that x_0 is an arbitrary point of X . If $x_0 \in T_{k_0}(x_0)$ for any $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, then by using (1), the proof is finishes. So we

assume that $x_0 \notin T_{k_0}(x_0)$ for any $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Now for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, if $x_1 \in T_i(x_0)$, then there exists $x_2 \in T_{i+1}(x_1)$ with $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(x_1, x_2)) \leq F(M(x_0, x_1; x_1, x_2)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_0, x_1; x_1, x_2) &= \max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2), \frac{d(x_0, x_2) + d(x_1, x_1)}{2}\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2), \frac{d(x_0, x_2)}{2}\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $M(x_0, x_1; x_1, x_2) = d(x_1, x_2)$ then

$$\tau + F(d(x_1, x_2)) \leq F(d(x_1, x_2)),$$

a contradiction as $\tau > 0$. Therefore $M(x_0, x_1; x_1, x_2) = d(x_0, x_1)$ and we have

$$\tau + F(d(x_1, x_2)) \leq F(d(x_0, x_1)).$$

Next for this $x_2 \in T_{i+1}(x_1)$, there exists $x_3 \in T_{i+2}(x_2)$ with $(x_2, x_3) \in \Delta_2$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(x_2, x_3)) \leq F(M(x_1, x_2; x_2, x_3)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_1, x_2; x_2, x_3) &= \max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, x_3), \frac{d(x_1, x_3) + d(x_2, x_2)}{2}\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, x_3)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $M(x_1, x_2; x_2, x_3) = d(x_2, x_3)$ then

$$\tau + F(d(x_2, x_3)) \leq F(d(x_2, x_3)),$$

a contradiction as $\tau > 0$. Therefore $M(x_1, x_2; x_2, x_3) = d(x_1, x_2)$ and we have

$$\tau + F(d(x_2, x_3)) \leq F(d(x_1, x_2)).$$

Continuing this process, for $x_{2n} \in T_i(x_{2n-1})$, there exist $x_{2n+1} \in T_{i+1}(x_{2n})$ with $(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \in \Delta_2$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) \leq F(M(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}; x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}; x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &= \max\left\{d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n})}{2}\right\} \\
&= \left\{d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), \frac{d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1})}{2}\right\} \\
&\leq d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}),
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\tau + F(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) \leq F(d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})).$$

Similarly, for $x_{2n+1} \in T_{i+1}(x_{2n})$, there exist $x_{2n+2} \in T_{i+2}(x_{2n+1})$ such that for $(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \in \Delta_2$ implies

$$\tau + F(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \leq F(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})).$$

Hence, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that for $x_n \in T_i(x_{n-1})$, there exist $x_{n+1} \in T_{i+1}(x_n)$ with $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \Delta_2$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \tau \leq F(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) - 2\tau \\
&\leq \dots \leq F(d(x_0, x_1)) - n\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.2), we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = -\infty$ that together with (F_2) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

From (F_3) , there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^\lambda F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 0.$$

From (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^\lambda F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) - [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^\lambda F(d(x_0, x_{n+1})) \\
&\leq -n\tau [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^\lambda \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^\lambda = 0.$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ and there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq 1$ for all $n \geq n_1$. So we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/\lambda}}$$

for all $n \geq n_1$. Now consider $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n \geq n_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the convergence of the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/\lambda}}$, we get $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there exists an element $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, if T_i is upper semicontinuous for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, then as $x_{2n} \in X$, $x_{2n+1} \in T_i(x_{2n})$ with $x_{2n} \rightarrow x^*$ and $x_{2n+1} \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $x^* \in T_i(x^*)$. Thus from (2), we get $x^* \in T_1(x^*) = T_2(x^*) = \dots = T_m(x^*)$.

Finally to prove (3), suppose the set $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix}(T_i)$ is a well-ordered. We are to show that $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix}(T_i)$ is singleton. Assume on contrary that there exist u and v such that $u, v \in \cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix}(T_i)$ but $u \neq v$. As $(u, v) \in \Delta_2$, so for $(u_x, v_y) \in \Delta_2$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(d(u, v)) &\leq F(M(u, v; u, v)) \\ &= F(\max\{d(u, v), d(u, u), d(v, v), \frac{d(u, v) + d(v, u)}{2}\}) \\ &= F(d(u, v)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction as $\tau > 0$. Hence $u = v$. Conversely, if $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix}(T_i)$ is singleton, then it follows that $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix}(T_i)$ is a well-ordered. \square

The following corollary extends and generalizes Theorem 4.1 of [14] and Theorem 3.4 of [21].

Corollary 2.2. Let (X, d, \preceq) be an ordered complete metric space and $T_1, T_2 : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be two multivalued mappings. Suppose that for every $(x, y) \in \Delta_1$ and $u_x \in T_i(x)$, there exists $u_y \in T_j(y)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ with $i \neq j$ such that, $(u_x, u_y) \in \Delta_2$ implies

$$\tau + F(d(u_x, u_y)) \leq F(M(x, y; u_x, u_y)),$$

where τ is a positive real number and

$$M(x, y; u_x, u_y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, u_x), d(y, u_y), \frac{d(x, u_y) + d(y, u_x)}{2}\}.$$

Then the following statements hold:

- (1) $Fix(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$ if and only if $Fix(T_1) = Fix(T_2) \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) $Fix(T_1) = Fix(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ provided that T_1 or T_2 is upper semicontinuous.
- (3) $Fix(T_1) \cap Fix(T_2)$ is well-ordered if and only if $Fix(T_1) \cap Fix(T_2)$ is singleton set.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{x_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} : n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}\}$ endow with usual order \leq . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \{(x, y) : x \leq y \text{ where } x, y \in X\} \text{ and} \\ \Delta_2 &= \{(x, y) : x < y \text{ where } x, y \in X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $T_1, T_2 : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x) &= \{x_1\} \text{ for } x \in X, \text{ and} \\ T_2(x) &= \begin{cases} \{x_1\} & , \quad x = x_1 \\ \{x_1, x_{n-1}\} & , \quad x = x_n, \text{ for } n > 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Take $F(\alpha) = \ln \alpha + \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\tau = 1$. For a Euclidean metric d on X , and $(u_x, u_y) \in \Delta_2$, we consider the following cases:

- (i) If $x = x_1, y = x_m$, for $m > 1$, then for $u_x = x_1 \in T_1(x)$, there exists $u_y = x_{m-1} \in T_2(y)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u_x, u_y)e^{d(u_x, u_y) - M(x, y; u_x, u_y)} &\leq d(u_x, u_y)e^{d(u_x, u_y) - d(x, y)} \\
&= \frac{m^2 - m - 2}{2}e^{-m} \\
&< \frac{m^2 + m - 2}{2}e^{-1} \\
&= e^{-1}d(x, y) \\
&\leq e^{-1}M(x, y; u_x, u_y).
\end{aligned}$$

- (ii) If $x = x_n, y = x_{n+1}$ with $n > 1$, then for $u_x = x_1 \in T_1(x)$, there exists $u_y = x_{n-1} \in T_2(y)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u_x, u_y)e^{d(u_x, u_y) - M(x, y; u_x, u_y)} &\leq d(u_x, u_y)e^{d(u_x, u_y) - \lceil \frac{d(x, u_y) + d(y, u_x)}{2} \rceil} \\
&= \frac{n^2 - n - 2}{2}e^{\frac{-3n-2}{2}} \\
&< \frac{n^2 + 4n}{2}e^{-1} \\
&= e^{-1} \lceil \frac{d(x, u_y) + d(y, u_x)}{2} \rceil \\
&\leq e^{-1}M_1(x, y; u_x, u_y).
\end{aligned}$$

- (iii) When $x = x_n, y = x_m$ with $m > n > 1$, then for $u_x = x_1 \in T_1(x)$, there exists $u_y = x_{n-1} \in T_2(y)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u_x, u_y)e^{d(u_x, u_y) - M(x, y; u_x, u_y)} &\leq d(u_x, u_y)e^{d(u_x, u_y) - d(x, u_x)} \\
&= \frac{n^2 - n - 2}{2}e^{-n} \\
&< \frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2}e^{-1} \\
&= e^{-1}d(x, u_x) \\
&\leq e^{-1}M(x, y; u_x, u_y).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we show that for $x, y \in X, u_x \in T_2(x)$; there exists $u_y \in T_1(y)$ such that $(u_x, u_y) \in \Delta_2$ and (2.1) is satisfied. For this, we consider the following cases:

- (i) If $x = x_n, y = x_1$ with $n > 1$, we have for $u_x = x_{n-1} \in T_2(x)$, there exists $u_y = x_1 \in T_1(y)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u_x, u_y)e^{d(u_x, u_y) - M(x, y; u_x, u_y)} &\leq d(u_x, u_y)e^{d(u_x, u_y) - d(x, y)} \\
&= \frac{n^2 - n - 2}{2}e^{-n} \\
&< \frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2}e^{-1} \\
&= e^{-1}d(x, y) \\
&\leq e^{-1}M(x, y; u_x, u_y).
\end{aligned}$$

- (ii) In case $x = x_n, y = x_m$ with $m > n > 1$, then for $u_x = x_{n-1} \in T_2(x)$, there exists $u_y = x_1 \in T_2(y)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u_x, u_y)e^{d(u_x, u_y) - M(x, y; u_x, u_y)} &\leq d(u_x, u_y)e^{d(u_x, u_y) - d(y, u_y)} \\
&= \frac{n^2 - n - 2}{2}e^{n^2 - n - m^2 - m} \\
&< \frac{m^2 + m - 2}{2}e^{-1} \\
&= e^{-1}d(y, u_y) \\
&\leq e^{-1}M(x, y; u_x, u_y).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for all x, y in X , (2.1) is satisfied. Hence all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Moreover, $x_1 = 1$ is the unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 with $Fix(T_1) = Fix(T_2)$. \square

The following results generalizes Theorem 3.4 of [21] and Theorem 3.4 of [22].

Theorem 2.4. Let (X, d, \preceq) be an ordered complete metric space and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^m : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be family of multivalued mappings. Suppose that for every $(x, y) \in \Delta_1$ and $u_x \in T_i(x)$, there exists $u_y \in T_{i+1}(y)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ (with $T_{m+1} = T_1$ by convention) such that, $(u_x, u_y) \in \Delta_2$ implies

$$\tau + F(d(u_x, u_y)) \leq F(M_2(x, y; u_x, u_y)), \quad (2.3)$$

where τ is a positive real number and

$$M_2(x, y; u_x, u_y) = \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, u_x) + \gamma d(y, u_y) + \delta_1 d(x, u_y) + \delta_2 d(y, u_x),$$

and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_1, \delta_2 \geq 0$, $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq 1$. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) $Fix(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ if and only if $Fix(T_1) = Fix(T_2) = \dots = Fix(T_m) \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) $Fix(T_1) = Fix(T_2) = \dots = Fix(T_m) \neq \emptyset$ provided that any one T_i for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is upper semicontinuous.
- (3) $\cap_{i=1}^m Fix(T_i)$ is well-ordered if and only if $\cap_{i=1}^m Fix(T_i)$ is singleton set.

Proof. To prove (1), let $x^* \in T_k(x^*)$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Assume that $x^* \notin T_{k+1}(x^*)$, then there exists an $x \in T_{k+1}(x^*)$ with $(x^*, x) \in \Delta_2$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(x^*, x)) \leq F(M_2(x^*, x^*; x^*, x)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(x^*, x^*; x^*, x) &= \alpha d(x^*, x^*) + \beta d(x^*, x^*) + \gamma d(x, x^*) \\ &\quad + \delta_1 d(x^*, x) + \delta_2 d(x^*, x^*) \\ &= (\gamma + \delta_1) d(x, x^*), \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(d(x^*, x)) &\leq F((\gamma + \delta_1) d(x^*, x)) \\ &\leq F(d(x^*, x)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction as $\tau > 0$. Thus $x^* = x$. Thus $x^* \in T_{k+1}(x^*)$ and so $Fix(T_k) \subseteq Fix(T_{k+1})$. Similarly, we obtain that $Fix(T_{k+1}) \subseteq Fix(T_{k+2})$ and continuing this way, we get $Fix(T_1) = Fix(T_2) = \dots = Fix(T_k)$. The converse is straightforward.

To prove (2), suppose that x_0 is an arbitrary point of X . If $x_0 \in T_{k_0}(x_0)$ for any $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, then by using (1), the proof is finishes. So we assume that $x_0 \notin T_{k_0}(x_0)$ for any $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Now for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, if $x_1 \in T_i(x_0)$, then there exists $x_2 \in T_{i+1}(x_1)$ with $(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_2$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(x_1, x_2)) \leq F(M_2(x_0, x_1; x_1, x_2)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(x_0, x_1; x_1, x_2) &= \alpha d(x_0, x_1) + \beta d(x_0, x_1) + \gamma d(x_1, x_2) \\ &\quad + \delta_1 d(x_0, x_2) + \delta_2 d(x_1, x_1) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta_1) d(x_0, x_1) + (\gamma + \delta_1) d(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $d(x_0, x_1) \leq d(x_1, x_2)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau + F(d(x_1, x_2)) &\leq F((\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta_1)d(x_1, x_2)) \\ &\leq F(d(x_1, x_2)),\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore

$$\tau + F(d(x_1, x_2)) \leq F(d(x_0, x_1)).$$

Next for this $x_2 \in T_{i+1}(x_1)$, there exists $x_3 \in T_{i+2}(x_2)$ with $(x_2, x_3) \in \Delta_2$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(x_2, x_3)) \leq F(M_2(x_1, x_2; x_2, x_3)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}M_2(x_1, x_2; x_2, x_3) &= \alpha d(x_1, x_2) + \beta d(x_1, x_2) + \gamma d(x_2, x_3) \\ &\quad + \delta_1 d(x_1, x_3) + \delta_2 d(x_2, x_2) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta_1)d(x_1, x_2) + (\gamma + \delta_1)d(x_2, x_3).\end{aligned}$$

Now, if $d(x_1, x_2) \leq d(x_2, x_3)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau + F(d(x_2, x_3)) &\leq F((\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta_1)d(x_2, x_3)) \\ &\leq F(d(x_2, x_3)),\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction as $\tau > 0$. Therefore

$$\tau + F(d(x_2, x_3)) \leq F(d(x_1, x_2)).$$

Continuing this process, for $x_{2n} \in T_i(x_{2n-1})$, there exist $x_{2n+1} \in T_{i+1}(x_{2n})$ with $(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \in \Delta_2$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) \leq F(M_2(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}; x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}M_2(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}; x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &= \alpha d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + \beta d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + \gamma d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + \delta_1 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}) + \delta_2 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n}) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta_1) d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + (\gamma + \delta_1) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}),\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\tau + F(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) \leq F(d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})).$$

Similarly, for $x_{2n+1} \in T_{i+1}(x_{2n})$, there exist $x_{2n+2} \in T_{i+2}(x_{2n+1})$ such that for $(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \in \Delta_2$ implies

$$\tau + F(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \leq F(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})).$$

Hence, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that for $x_n \in T_i(x_{n-1})$, there exist $x_{n+1} \in T_{i+1}(x_n)$ with $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \Delta_2$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \tau \leq F(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) - 2\tau \\ &\leq \dots \leq F(d(x_0, x_1)) - n\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

From (2.4), we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = -\infty$ that together with (F_2) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Follows the arguments those in proof of Theorem 2.1, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there exists an element $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, if T_i is upper semicontinuous for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, then as $x_{2n} \in X$, $x_{2n+1} \in T_i(x_{2n})$ with $x_{2n} \rightarrow x^*$ and $x_{2n+1} \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $x^* \in T_i(x^*)$. Thus from (2), we get $x^* \in T_1(x^*) = T_2(x^*) = \dots = T_m(x^*)$.

Finally to prove (3), suppose the set $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix}(T_i)$ is a well-ordered. We are to show that $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix}(T_i)$ is singleton. Assume on contrary that there exist u and v such that $u, v \in \cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix}(T_i)$ but $u \neq v$. As $(u, v) \in \Delta_2$, so for $(u_x, v_y) \in \Delta_2$ implies

$$\tau + F(d(u, v)) \leq F(M_2(u, v; u, v)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(u, v; u, v) &= \alpha d(u, v) + \beta d(u, u) + \gamma d(v, v) \\ &\quad + \delta_1 d(u, v) + \delta_2 d(v, u) \\ &= (\alpha + \delta_1 + \delta_2) d(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau + F(d(u, v)) &\leq F((\alpha + \delta_1 + \delta_2)d(x, y)) \\ &\leq F(d(u, v)),\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction as $\tau > 0$. Hence $u = v$. Conversely, if $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix}(T_i)$ is singleton, then it follows that $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix}(T_i)$ is a well-ordered. \square

The following corollary extends Theorem 3.1 of [21], in the case of family of mappings in ordered metric space.

Corollary 2.5. Let (X, d, \preceq) be an ordered complete metric space and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^m : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be family of multivalued mappings. Suppose that for every $(x, y) \in \Delta_1$ and $u_x \in T_i(x)$, there exists $u_y \in T_{i+1}(y)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ (with $T_{m+1} = T_1$ by convention) such that, $(u_x, u_y) \in \Delta_2$ implies

$$\tau + F(d(u_x, u_y)) \leq F(\alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, u_x) + \gamma d(y, u_y)), \quad (2.5)$$

where τ is a positive real number and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq 1$. Then the conclusions obtained in Theorem 2.3 remain true.

The following corollary extends Theorem 4.1 of [14].

Corollary 2.6. Let (X, d, \preceq) be an ordered complete metric space and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^m : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be family of multivalued mappings. Suppose that for every $(x, y) \in \Delta_1$ and $u_x \in T_i(x)$, there exists $u_y \in T_{i+1}(y)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ (with $T_{m+1} = T_1$ by convention) such that, $(u_x, u_y) \in \Delta_2$ implies

$$\tau + F(d(u_x, u_y)) \leq F(h[d(x, u_x) + d(y, u_y)]), \quad (2.6)$$

where τ is a positive real number and $h \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then the conclusions obtained in Theorem 2.3 remain true.

Corollary 2.7. Let (X, d, \preceq) be an ordered complete metric space and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^m : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be family of multivalued mappings. Suppose that for every $(x, y) \in \Delta_1$ and $u_x \in T_i(x)$, there exists $u_y \in T_{i+1}(y)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ (with $T_{m+1} = T_1$ by convention) such that, $(u_x, u_y) \in \Delta_2$ implies

$$\tau + F(d(u_x, u_y)) \leq F(d(x, y)), \quad (2.7)$$

where τ is a positive real number. Then the conclusions obtained in Theorem 2.3 remain true.

The above corollary extends Theorem 4.1 of [14].

Conclusion. Recently many results appeared in the literature giving the problems related to the common fixed point for multivalued maps. In this paper we obtained the results for existence of common fixed points of family of maps that satisfying generalized F -contractions in ordered structured metric spaces. We presented some examples to show the validity of established results.

Acknowledgement. The first author is grateful to the Erasmus Mundus project FUSION for the postdoctoral fellowship visiting to Mälardalen University Sweden and to the Division of Applied Mathematics at the School of Education, Culture and Communication for creating excellent research environment.

References

- [1] Abbas, M., Ali, B., and Romaguera S.: Fixed and periodic points of generalized contractions in metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013** (243), 1-11 (2013)
- [2] Abbas, M., Ali, B., and Romaguera, S.: Generalized contraction and invariant approximation results on nonconvex subsets of normed spaces. *Abstract Applied Anal.* **2014** Article ID 391952, 1-5 (2014)
- [3] Abbas, M., Khan, A. R., and Nazir, T.: Common fixed point of multivalued mappings in ordered generalized metric spaces. *Filomat* **26** (5), 1045-1053 (2012)
- [4] Abbas, M., and Rhoades, B. E.: Fixed point theorems for two new classes of multivalued mappings. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22**, 1364-1368 (2009)
- [5] Acar, O., Durmaz, G., and Minak, G.: Generalized multivalued F -contraction on complete metric spaces. *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **40** (6), 1469-1478 (2014)
- [6] Al-Thagafi, M. A., and Shahzad, N.: Coincidence points, generalized I -nonexpansive multimaps and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **67**, 2180-2188 (2007)

- [7] Berinde M., and Berinde, V.: On general class of multivalued weakly Picard mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **326**, 772-782 (2007)
- [8] Ćirić, Lj.: Generalization of Banach's contraction principle. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (45), 267-273 (1974)
- [9] Ćirić, Lj.: Multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.: Theory, Method & Appl.* **71** (7-8), 2716-2723 (2009)
- [10] Jungck, G., and Rhoades, B. E.: Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **29**, 227-238 (1998)
- [11] Kamran, T.: Multivalued f -weakly Picard mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* **67**, 2289-2296 (2007)
- [12] Kannan, R.: Some results on fixed points. *Bull. Calcutta. Math. Soc.* **60**, 71-76 (1968)
- [13] Latif, A., and Tweddle, I.: On multivalued nonexpansive maps. *Demonstratio Math.* **32**, 565-574 (1999)
- [14] Latif, A., and Beg, I.: Geometric fixed points for single and multivalued mappings. *Demonstratio Math.* **30** (4), 791-800 (1997)
- [15] Lazar, T., O'Regan, D., and Petrusel, A.: Fixed points and homotopy results for Ćirić-type multivalued operators on a set with two metrics. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **45** (1), 67-73 (2008)
- [16] J. T. Markin, Continuous dependence of fixed point sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **38**, 545-547 (1973)
- [17] Minak, G., Helvasi, A., and Altun, I.: Ćirić type generalized F -contractions on complete metric spaces and fixed point results. *Filomat* **28** (6), 1143-1151 (2014)
- [18] Mot, G., and Petrusel, A.: Fixed point theory for a new type of contractive multivalued operators. *Nonlinear Anal.: Theory, Method & Appl.* **70** (9), 3371-3377 (2009)
- [19] Nadler, S. B.: Multivalued contraction mappings. *Pacific J. Math.* **30**, 475-488 (1969)

- [20] Rhaodes, B. E.: On multivalued f –nonexpansive maps. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2**, 89-92 (2001)
- [21] Rus, I. A., Petrusel, A., and Sintamarian, A.: Data dependence of fixed point set of some multivalued weakly Picard operators. Nonlinear Anal. **52**, 1944-1959 (2003)
- [22] Sgroi, M., and Vetro, C.: Multi-valued F –contractions and the Solution of certain functional and integral equations. Filomat **27** (7), 1259-1268 (2013)
- [23] Sintunavarat, W., and Kumam, P.: Common fixed point theorem for cyclic generalized multi-valued contraction mappings. Applied Math. Lett. **25** (11), 1849-1855 (2012)
- [24] Wardowski, D.: Fixed points of new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012** (94), 1-6 (2012)